1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
13	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
14 15	HANS MENOS, derivatively on behalf of ECO SCIENCE SOLUTIONS, INC.,	Case No. 3:17-CV-00662-LRH-CBC
16	Plaintiff,	
17	v.	STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO AMENDED
18	JEFFERY L. TAYLOR, DON L. TAYLOR, L. JOHN LEWIS, S.	COMPLAINT
19	RANDALL OVESON, and GANNON GIGUIERE,	(Second Request)
20	Defendants,	
21	and	
22	ECO SCIENCE SOLUTIONS, INC.,	
23	Nominal Defendant.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		1

STIPULATION REGARDING DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO AMENDED COMPLAINT AND REQUESTING THE REOPENING OF THE ACTION

Pursuant to LR IA 6-1 and LR IA 6-2, Plaintiff Hans Menos ("Plaintiff"), by and through his counsel the law firms of Leverty & Associates Law Chtd. Ltd. and The Rosen Law Firm, P.A. and Defendants Jeffery L. Taylor, Don L. Taylor, L. John Lewis, S. Randall Oveson and Gannon Giguiere (collectively, "Individual Defendants") and Nominal Defendant Eco Science Solutions, Inc. ("Nominal Defendant" and with Individual Defendants, "Defendants" and with Plaintiff, the "Parties"), by and through their counsel, the law firm of Greenberg Traurig, LLP, hereby stipulate and agree:

WHEREAS, on February 5, 2019, the Court entered the Parties' Stipulation and Order Regarding Defendants' Response to Amended Complaint and Plaintiffs' Response to the Emergency Motion to Stay Civil Case (the "February Order") (Dkt. No. 55). Pursuant to the February Order, if Judge Kobayashi denied the motion to stay in the related derivative actions, *Bell v. Taylor, et al.*, Case No. 17-cv-00530 (D. Hawaii) and *D'Annunzio v. Taylor, et al.*, Case No. 18-cv-00016 (D. Hawaii) (the "Hawaii Actions"), then Defendants will withdraw their Emergency Motion to Stay Civil Case ("Stay Motion") (Dkt. No. 52) and the Parties would agree to a schedule for the response to the Verified First Amended Shareholder Derivative Complaint ("Amended Complaint"). On April 26, 2019, Judge Kobayashi denied the motion to stay in the Hawaii Actions;

WHEREAS, on August 6, 2019, Defendants withdrew the Stay Motion (Dkt. No. 57).

WHEREAS, there are additional related proceedings in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California (*U.S. v. Giguiere*, Case No. 18CR3071-WQH) previously scheduled for an August trial but which resolved with a plea agreement on July 23, 2019;

WHEREAS, the parties in this action were monitoring the related proceedings, the closure of which provides some guidance to the prosecution and defense of this action;

WHEREAS, the parties in this action have preliminarily discussed alternative dispute resolution, are continuing these discussions, and require time to continue those discussions and potentially coordinate with the parties to the related derivative actions;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties in this action stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. Defendants shall answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Verified Amended Shareholder Derivative Complaint filed with this Court on December 21, 2018 (the "Amended Complaint") by September 10, 2019.
- 2. The parties agree that if they continue to make progress in their discussions about alternative dispute resolution, they agree to meet and confer and discuss the possibility of an additional extension of Defendants' deadlines to respond to the complaint, subject of course to the Court's approval.
- 3. In the event that the Defendants respond to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint by moving to dismiss, Plaintiff shall file an opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss by October 21, 2019 and Defendants shall file a reply to Plaintiff's opposition by November 11, 2019.
- 4. This request is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay. Rather the stipulation and schedule set forth above will further the efficient and expedient disposition of the above-captioned case.

/// ///

27

28

SEE NEXT PAGE FOR ORDER

1	This is the second stipulation requesting a new schedule for the response to the Amended		
2	Complaint since a decision in the Hawaii Action on the stay issue.		
3			
4	Dated: August 26, 2019	By: /s/ Patrick R. Leverty	
5	;	Patrick R. Leverty LEVERTY & ASSOCIATES LAW CHTD.	
6	5	832 Willow Street	
7	7	Reno, NV 89502	
8	3	Phillip Kim THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.	
9		275 Madison Avenue, 34 th Floor New York, NY 10016	
10		,	
11	Dated: August 26, 2019	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
12	11	By: <u>/s/ Christopher R. Miltenberger</u> Mark E. Ferrario	
13		Christopher R. Miltenberger GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	
14		10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89135	
15	5		
16	5	Joel M. Eads GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	
17	7	1717 Arch Street, Suite 400 Philadelphia, PA 19103	
18		Attorneys for Defendants and Nominal	
19		Defendant	
20		IC CO ODDEDED.	
21	.	IS SO ORDERED:	
22	DA	TED this 28th day of August, 2019.	
23		4/1.	
24) With	
25	LARRÝ R. HIČKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
26	5		
27	,		
28	3	4	
	- 11		